Sustainability Management Su

Sustainability Vision Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)





E: Environmental data

READ MORE \rightarrow



S: Social data

READ MORE \rightarrow



G: Governance data

READ MORE \rightarrow

Sustainability Management Sus

Sustainability Vision Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate and Society: Four Key Themes

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes ESG Report / ESG Data External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.





E: Environmental data

S: Social data

G: Governance data

E: Environmental data

(1) KPI

1. Climate Change (GHG Emissions, Energy Use)

	Targets&Taget year		Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Unit	Boundary
GHG emissions reduction targets ^{*1} * Revision in March	Reduce Scope 1 + 2 by 70% or more and Scope 3 by 50% or more by fiscal 2030 compared to fiscal	total	2,277,376	2,099,270	2,534,820	3,533,468	4,038,583	3,588,345	3,336,472	t- CO2	100% of Mitsubishi Estate Group [*]
2022 (Targets approved by the SBT initiative in June 2022)	2019 emissions Achieve net-zero emissions by 2050 (reduce Scope 1, 2, and 3 by 90% or more. Neutralize residual emissions [*] .)	Scope1+2	224,239	265,442	312,198	413,153	476,363	-	-	t- CO2	
in Julie 2022)		Scope3	2,053,137	1,833,828	2,222,622	3,120,314	3,562,220	-	-	t- CO2	
Renewable Energy Rate * Revision in February 2022	Achieve 100% group-wide by fiscal 2025		55.4%	51.4%	30.8%	3.1%	1.1%	1.3%	-		100% of Mitsubishi Estate Group [*]
Energy consumption ^{*5}	-		564,415	559,826	518,515	1,024,802	1,242,153	1,138,078	-	MWh	
- Renewable energy consumption	-		312,606	288,019	159,663	31,804	14,005	14,685	-	MWh	

*1 As a general rule, target group companies are selected based on actual control approach. Properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations. (However, under GRESB, properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are 20% and over are included.) Sustainability Vision Sust

- *2 Emissions that remain unabated within the value chain in the target year are termed "residual emissions." The SBTi standard requires neutralizing any residual emissions using forest absorption and carbon removal technologies outside the value chain to counterbalance the impact of these unabated emissions and to achieve net-zero emissions.
- *3 Since the above numerical targets were revised upward in March 2022, the figures for the base year, FY2019 onward, show the breakdown of Scope 1+2 and Scope 3, respectively.
- *4 For FY2019 actual emissions, the figures for Scope 2 and Scope 3 in total emissions have been partially changed due to the transfer of tenant emissions from Scope 2 to Scope 3 in leased real estate when the numerical targets were revised.
- *5 For RE100, tenant use is excluded from electricity consumption from FY2021.

2. Resources (Waste, Water, Forestry Resources, etc.)

	Targets	Target year	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Unit	Boundary
Waste generated per unit of floor space	20% reduction from FY2019 FY2019 actual: 7.1kg/m ²	2030	6.4	5.6	5.1	5.0	7.1	11.1	kg/m ²	100% of Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}
Waste generated	-	-	44,431	45,879	39,420	39,221	54,114	74,595	t	
Area floor	-	-	6,993,078	8,052,059	7,760,237	7,807,417	7,591,269	6,750,610	m ²	
Recycling rate	90%	2030	59.5%	59.1%	58.4%	60.5%	55.2%	45.5%		100% of Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}
Waste recycled	-	-	26,415	27,102	23,023	23,742	29,878	33,923	t	
Recycled water usage rate	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		Newly constructed ^{*2} , large-scale ^{*3} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan
Japan-grown timber usage rate	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	74.5%	73.5%	71.2%	69.3%	71.8%	-		Mitsubishi Estate Home (structural materials and floor materials for detached housing)

*1 Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.

*2 Completed in 2002 onward

*3 Floor area: 100,000m² or more

М	essages	
---	---------	--

 \rightarrow

(2) Other

Basic Data on Target Properties

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017
Total floor area (m ²)	6,993,078	8,052,059	7,760,237	7,807,417	7,591,269	6,750,610	6,761,510
Number of target properties	155	135	120	111	112	97	90

Independent assurance has been obtained for fiscal 2019 - 2022 results in (1) through (3) below.

Independent Third-Party Assurance Report

xternal Evaluations of INDEX ESG Performance (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

1. Climate Change (GHG Reduction and Energy Management)

GHG Emissions

			Fiscal 202	3				Fiscal 202	2	
	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown	Change from 2019 (base year)	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown	Change from 2019 (base year)
Total GHG emissions (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3)	2,277,376	0.2917	100.0%	-	▲43.6%	2,099,270	0.2689	100.0%	-	▲48.0%
Scope 1	93,034	0.0120	4.1%	-	▲21.4%	110,783	0.0143	5.3%	-	▲6.4%
- CO2	92,336	-	4.1%	-	▲ 21.3%	108,258	-	5.2%	-	▲7.7%
- CH4	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-
- N2O	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-
- HFCs	698	-	0.0%	-	▲35.8%	2,525	-	0.1%	-	+132.3%
- PFCs	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-
- SF6	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-
- Other	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-
Scope 2	131,205	0.0169	5.8%	-	▲63.4%	154,659	0.0199	7.4%	-	▲56.8%
Scope 1 + Scope 2	224,239	0.0289	9.8%	-	▲52.9%	265,442	0.0342	12.6%	-	4 44.3%
Scope 3	2,053,137	-	90.2%	100.0%	4 2.4%	1,833,828	-	87.4%	100.0%	4 8.5%
- Category 1: Purchased goods and services	269,645	-	11.8%	13.1%	+209.2%	297,717	-	14.2%	16.2%	+241.4%
- Category 2: Capital goods	1,124,196	-	49.4%	54.8%	▲0.9%	834,773	-	39.8%	45.5%	▲26.4%
- Category 3: Fuel and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2	83,409	-	3.7%	4.1%	▲37.1%	86,226	-	4.1%	4.7%	▲35.0%
- Category 5: Waste generated in operations	27,306	-	1.2%	1.3%	+25.5%	27,167	-	1.3%	1.5%	+24.8%
- Category 6: Business travel	1,440	-	0.1%	0.1%	+14.8%	1,390	-	0.1%	0.1%	+10.8%
- Category 7: Employee commuting	2,219	-	0.1%	0.1%	▲22.1%	3,099	-	0.1%	0.2%	+8.8%
- Category 11: Use of sold products	431,615	-	19.0%	21.0%	▲77.4%	439,701	-	20.9%	24.0%	▲76.9%
- Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products	37,602	-	1.7%	1.8%	▲23.6%	44,083	-	2.1%	2.4%	▲10.4%
- Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets	75,704	-	3.3%	3.7%	▲66.6%	99,673	-	4.7%	5.4%	▲56.1%

Sustainability Management

Sustainability Vision Sustai

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

^{up} ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance

INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

etc.)

			Fiscal 202	1		Fiscal 2020						
	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown	Change from 2019 (base year)	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown	Change from 2019 (base year)		
Fotal GHG emissions (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3)	2,534,820	0.3247	100.0%	-	▲37.2%	3,533,468	0.4526	100.0%	-	▲12.5%		
Scope 1	120,713	0.0156	4.8%	-	+2.0%	116,914	0.0150	3.3%	-	▲3.1%		
- CO2	116,438	-	4.6%	-	▲0.7%	114,729	-	3.2%	-	-		
- CH4	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-		
- N2O	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-		
- HFCs	4,275	-	0.2%	-	+293.3%	2,185	-	0.1%	-	-		
- PFCs	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-		
- SF6	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-		
- Other	0	-	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	-	-		
Scope 2	191,485	0.0247	7.5%	-	4 6.5%	296,239	0.0379	8.4%	-	▲ 17.3%		
Scope 1 + Scope 2	312,198	0.0402	12.3%	-	▲34.5%	413,153	0.0529	11.7%	-	1 3.39		
Scope 3	2,222,622	-	87.7%	100.0%	▲37.6%	3,120,314	-	88.3%	100.0%	▲12.49		
- Category 1: Purchased goods and services	63,486	-	2.5%	2.9%	▲27.2%	55,037	-	1.6%	1.8%	▲36.9%		
- Category 2: Capital goods	951,607	-	37.5%	42.8%	▲16.1%	1,266,641	-	35.8%	40.6%	+11.69		
- Category 3: Fuel and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2	83,354	-	3.3%	3.8%	▲37.2%	117,993	-	3.3%	3.8%	▲11.19		
- Category 5: Waste generated in operations	25,472	-	1.0%	1.1%	+17.1%	15,129	-	0.4%	0.5%	▲30.5%		
- Category 6: Business travel	1,330	-	0.1%	0.1%	+6.1%	1,301	-	0.0%	0.0%	+3.89		
- Category 7: Employee commuting	2,939	-	0.1%	0.1%	+3.2%	2,936	-	0.1%	0.1%	+3.1%		
- Category 11: Use of sold products	918,548	-	36.2%	41.3%	▲51.8%	1,420,533	-	40.2%	45.5%	▲25.5%		
- Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products	34,255	-	1.4%	1.5%	▲30.4%	48,289	-	1.4%	1.5%	▲ 1.99		
- Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets	141,631	-	5.6%	6.4%	▲37.6%	192,456	-	5.4%	6.2%	▲15.29		

Sustainability Management

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

Group ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance

INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

) etc.)	
, c.c.,	

		Fi	scal 2019		Fiscal 2018					
	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown		
Total GHG emissions (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3)	4,038,583	0.5325	100.0%	-	3,588,345	0.5316	100.0%	-		
Scope 1	118,351	0.0156	2.9%	-	108,289	0.0160	3.0%	-		
- CO2	117,264	-	2.9%	-	107,011	-	3.0%	-		
- CH4	0	-	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%	-		
- N2O	0	-	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%	-		
- HFCs	1,087	-	0.0%	-	1,278	-	0.0%	-		
- PFCs	0	-	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%	-		
- SF6	0	-	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%	-		
- Other	0	-	0.0%	-	0	-	0.0%	-		
Scope 2	358,012	0.0472	8.9%	-	564,033	0.0836	15.7%	-		
Scope 1 + Scope 2	476,363	0.0628	11.8%	-	672,322	0.0996	18.7%	-		
Scope 3	3,562,220	-	88.2%	100.0%	2,916,023	-	81.3%	100.0%		
- Category 1: Purchased goods and services	87,211	-	2.2%	2.4%	77,035	-	2.1%	2.6%		
- Category 2: Capital goods	1,134,531	-	28.1%	31.8%	975,004	-	27.2%	33.4%		
- Category 3: Fuel and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2	132,683	-	3.3%	3.7%	77,488	-	2.2%	2.7%		
- Category 5: Waste generated in operations	21,762	-	0.5%	0.6%	19,401	-	0.5%	0.7%		
- Category 6: Business travel	1,254	-	0.0%	0.0%	1,227	-	0.0%	0.0%		
- Category 7: Employee commuting	2,848	-	0.1%	0.1%	1,885	-	0.1%	0.1%		
- Category 11: Use of sold products	1,905,897	-	47.2%	53.5%	1,714,888	-	47.8%	58.8%		
- Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products	49,214	-	1.2%	1.4%	49,095	-	1.4%	1.7%		
- Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets	226,820	-	5.6%	6.4%	-	-	-	-		

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.

etc.)	
,	

			Fiscal 2017	
	Emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t-CO2/m ²)	Percentage of total emissions	Percentage of Scope 3 breakdown
tal GHG emissions (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3)	3,336,472	0.4935	100.0%	-
Scope 1	120,634	0.0178	3.6%	-
- CO2	119,989	-	3.6%	-
- CH4	0	-	0.0%	-
- N2O	0	-	0.0%	-
- HFCs	645	-	0.0%	-
- PFCs	0	-	0.0%	-
- SF6	0	-	0.0%	-
- Other	0	-	0.0%	-
Scope 2	575,262	0.0851	17.2%	-
Scope 1 + Scope 2	695,896	0.1029	20.9%	-
Scope 3	2,640,576	-	79.1%	100.0%
- Category 1: Purchased goods and services	74,329	-	2.2%	2.8%
- Category 2: Capital goods	990,329	-	29.7%	37.5%
- Category 3: Fuel and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2	84,675	-	2.5%	3.2%
- Category 5: Waste generated in operations	45,571	-	1.4%	1.7%
- Category 6: Business travel	1,151	-	0.0%	0.0%
- Category 7: Employee commuting	1,768	-	0.1%	0.1%
- Category 11: Use of sold products	1,398,021	-	41.9%	52.9%
- Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products	44,732	-	1.3%	1.7%
- Category 13: Downstream Leased Assets	-	-	-	-

* Target group companies are based on actual control standard. As a general rule, facilities that rate of Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or Trust beneficiary right is less than 50% don't subject to Boundary

* Categories 4/8/9/10/14/15 are excluded from the scope of calculation for reasons including (1) there is no emission source, (2) they are included in Scope 1 + 2 or other category, or (3) their contribution within the entirety is negligible.

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sustainability Vision	Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes	ESG Report / ESG Data	External Evaluations of ESG Performance	INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)	
----------	---------------------------	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	--	--------------------------------	--

Breakdown of Energy Consumption

	Fisca	2023	Fisca	2022	Fiscal	2021	Fisca	2020	Fiscal	2019	Fiscal	2018
	Electricity equivalent (MWh)	GJ equivalent value	Electricity equivalent (MWh)	GJ equivalent value	Electricity equivalent (MWh)		Electricity equivalent (MWh)		Electricity equivalent (MWh)	GJ equivalent value	Electricity equivalent (MWh)	GJ equivalent value
Scope 1												
- Gas	551,812	1,986,522	591,192	2,128,290	632,793	2,278,055	615,532	2,215,915	628,481	2,267,417	585,394	2,107,418
- Heavy Oil	2,618	9,425	7,666	27,598	6,468	23,286	6,021	21,675	6,645	23,921	7,470	26,891
- Other Oil	799	2,876	857	3,086	851	3,064	2,026	7,292	730	1,560	4	13
Scope 2												
- Electricity	564,415	-	541,959	-	500,633	-	1,024,802	-	1,242,153	-	-	-
- Steam	73,563	264,825	84,890	305,603	78,195	281,504	80,357	289,286	111,208	400,350	-	-
- Steam Water	9,055	32,598	13,418	48,305	15,224	54,805	13,629	49,064	11,498	41,394	-	-
- Cold Water	111,137	400,094	110,344	397,237	100,217	360,782	104,312	375,525	105,480	379,727	-	-

* Scope: the same as for the SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

GHG Emissions, Energy Use and Other Data by Type of Property

			Fiscal	2023			Fiscal 2022						
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	GHG emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Energy use (GJ)	Per unit (GJ/m ²)	Number of target properties	Floor area (1,000m ²)	GHG emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Energy use (GJ)	Per unit (GJ/m ²)	Number of target properties	
Office buildings	5,642	75,512	0.01	2,090,727	0.37	68	5,734	101,726	0.02	2,209,758	0.39	65	
Commercial facilities	965	19,223	0.02	551,102	0.57	23	1,126	24,852	0.02	609,602	0.54	25	
Hotels	408	24,664	0.06	275,873	0.68	26	369	21,567	0.06	255,698	0.69	23	

			Fiscal	2021			Fiscal 2020							
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	GHG emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Energy use (GJ)	Per unit (GJ/m ²)	Number of target properties	Floor area (1,000m ²)	GHG emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Energy use (GJ)	Per unit (GJ/m ²)	Number of target properties		
Office buildings	4,488	158,273	0.04	2,547,965	0.57	54	4,667	307,934	0.07	2,960,906	0.63	53		
Commercial facilities	864	38,034	0.04	536,637	0.62	32	1,831	137,402	0.08	1,412,010	0.77	29		
Hotels	624	64,399	0.10	1,008,236	1.61	14	336	15,830	0.05	176,634	0.53	14		

			Fiscal	2019			Fiscal 2018						
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	GHG emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)		Per unit (GJ/m ²)	Number of target properties	Floor area (1,000m ²)	GHG emissions (t-CO2)	Per unit (t- CO2/m ²)	Energy use (GJ)	Per unit (GJ/m ²)	Number of target properties	
Office buildings	4,596	356,063	0.08	3,255,690	0.71	51	5,051	485,312	0.1	5,564,242	1.1	51	
Commercial facilities	1,727	154,535	0.09	1,551,447	0.90	29	1,024	90,812	0.09	1,443,189	1.41	20	
Hotels	212	36,722	0.17	328,227	1.55	12	149	13,043	0.09	251,669	1.69	11	

*1 Scope: the same as for SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

*2 Other uses not included in calculations for table above

ment Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

.≣

2. Waste and Resources

Waste Generated, Recycled, and Recycling Rate by Type of Waste

		Fiscal 2023			Fiscal 2022			Fiscal 2021	
	Waste generated (t)	Recycled volume (t)	Recycling rate	Waste generated (t)	Recycled volume (t)	Recycling rate	Waste generated (t)	Recycled volume (t)	Recycling rate
Copier and office automation paper	547	547	100%	619	619	100%	493	493	100%
Confidential documents (bulk processed documents)	19	19	100%	32	32	100%	182	182	100%
Magazines, pamphlets, and colored paper	957	957	100%	1,193	1,193	100%	1,482	1,482	100%
Newspapers and flyers inserted in newspapers	424	424	100%	467	467	100%	412	412	100%
Corrugated cardboard	10,516	10,516	100%	9,422	9,422	100%	8,613	8,613	100%
Miscellaneous paper	1,813	1,813	100%	1,949	1,949	100%	1,596	1,596	100%
Other combustible waste (paper waste and miscellaneous waste)	14,924	216	1.4%	13,733	362	2.6%	8,902	674	7.6%
Kitchen waste (tea leaves, leftover food, cigarette butts, etc.)	4,527	2,849	62.9%	5,122	2,504	48.9%	6,440	1,960	30.4%
Trees, grass, and fibers, etc.	106	105	99.2%	543	523	96.4%	962	391	40.7%
Jars	1,007	1,007	100%	893	893	100%	680	680	100%
Cans	678	678	100%	570	570	100%	508	508	100%
Plastic bottles	1,085	1,085	100%	978	978	100%	846	846	100%
Cooking oil	221	220	99.7%	299	285	95.4%	185	185	100%
Lunch box packaging	413	202	49.0%	409	233	56.9%	956	615	64.3%
Other (waste plastic)	3,810	2,947	77.3%	3,988	3,463	86.8%	2,949	2,042	69.3%
Other (fluorescent lights)	13	13	100%	15	15	100%	524	15	2.8%
Other (industrial waste)	2,900	2,775	95.7%	3,380	3,111	92.0%	2,083	2,083	100%
Other (includes waste that cannot be sorted into the above)	470	41	8.7%	465	29	6.2%	736	30	4.1%
Grand Total	44,431	26,415	59.5%	45,879	27,102	59.1%	39,420	23,023	58.4%

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sust
0.00		

tainability Vision Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

Group ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

) etc.)	
, c.c.,	

		Fiscal 2020			Fiscal 2019			Fiscal 2018	
	Waste generated (t)	Recycled volume (t)	Recycling rate	Waste generated (t)	Recycled volume (t)	Recycling rate	Waste generated (t)	Recycled volume (t)	Recycling rate
Copier and office automation paper	509	509	100%	678	678	100%	742	649	87.4%
Confidential documents (bulk processed documents)	166	166	100%	113	113	100%	992	659	66.4%
Magazines, pamphlets, and colored paper	1,756	1,756	100%	2,470	2,470	100%	2,437	2,253	92.4%
Newspapers and flyers inserted in newspapers	526	526	100%	695	695	100%	1,191	1,076	90.4%
Corrugated cardboard	8,361	8,361	100%	11,096	11,096	100%	10,255	9,419	91.8%
Miscellaneous paper	1,843	1,843	100%	2,015	2,015	100%	3,143	2,328	74.1%
Other combustible waste (paper waste and miscellaneous waste)	8,768	680	7.8%	13,342	675	5.1%	15,454	1,094	7.1%
Kitchen waste (tea leaves, leftover food, cigarette butts, etc.)	5,472	1,892	34.6%	9,716	3,276	33.7%	20,070	3,855	19.2%
Trees, grass, and fibers, etc.	633	330	52.0%	1,016	43	4.3%	1,253	69	5.5%
Jars	655	655	100%	1,282	1,282	100%	2,462	2,319	94.2%
Cans	517	517	100%	847	847	100%	3,142	3,060	97.4%
Plastic bottles	858	858	100%	1,497	1,497	100%	3,122	2,553	81.8%
Cooking oil	209	209	100%	224	224	100%	249	237	95.3%
Lunch box packaging	767	472	61.5%	1,402	775	55.3%	1,203	662	55.0%
Other (waste plastic)	3,093	1,782	57.6%	4,006	2,420	60.4%	6,191	2,441	39.4%
Other (fluorescent lights)	1,413	17	1.2%	58	58	100%	68	35	51.1%
Other (industrial waste)	2,741	2,741	100%	1,478	1,093	74.0%	818	554	67.7%
Other (includes waste that cannot be sorted into the above)	461	322	69.9%	149	97	64.8%	1,804	661	36.7%
Grand Total	39,221	23,742	60.5%	54,114	29,878	55.2%	74,595	33,923	45.5%

* Scope: the same as for the SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

Waste Generated by Type of Property

		Fiscal 2	2023			Fiscal 2	2022		Fiscal 2021				
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Waste generated (t)	Per unit (kg/m ²)	Number of target properties	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Waste generated (t)	Per unit (kg/m ²)	to use to	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Waste generated (t)	Per unit (kg/m ²)	Number of target properties	
Office buildings	5,642	29,313	5.2	68	5,734	34,530	6.0	65	4,488	23,853	5.3	54	
Commercial facilities	965	12,656	13.1	23	1,126	18,562	16.5	25	864	16,357	18.9	32	
Hotels	408	2,325	5.7	26	369	1,805	4.9	23	624	1,303	2.1	14	

		Fiscal 2	2020			Fiscal	2019		Fiscal 2018				
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Waste generated (t)	Per unit (kg/m ²)	torgot	i Floor area	Waste generated (t)	Per unit (kg/m ²)	torgot	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Waste generated (t)	Per unit (kg/m ²)	Number of target properties	
Office buildings	4,667	21,424	4.6	59	5,244	35,611	7.7	54	5,051	36,780	7.3	47	
Commercial facilities	1,831	15,907	8.7	25	1,059	15,045	8.7	20	1,024	7,218	7.0	20	
Hotels	336	1,329	4.0	15	212	1,809	8.5	12	149	25,520	171.2	11	

*1 Scope: the same as for SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

*2 Other uses not included in calculations for table above

Hazardous Substance Emissions

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Nox (t)	0.0	3.1	3.1	3.3	4.3	3.1	Mitsubishi Estate
Sox (t)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Mitsubishi Estate
PCBs (t) ^{*1}	0.0	6.2	61.5	28.9	116.2	3.2	Mitsubishi Estate
Asbestos (t) ^{*2}	141.9	59.2	146.7	94.9	557.1	104.3	Mitsubishi Estate

*1 PCB waste is completely detoxified and properly treated so that it does not cause environmental impact using treatment facilities and methods stipulated by the government based on the Act on Special Measures Concerning Promotion of Proper Treatment of PCB Wastes established by the Ministry of the Environment.

*2 Emission of construction-related waste containing asbestos. Asbestos is properly processed in a form not involving any environmental impact in accordance with the treatment method stipulated by the government based on the Waste Management and Public Cleansing Act established by the Ministry of the Environment.

3. Water

Water Usage

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017
Water withdrawal (m ³)	6,117,218	5,946,083	5,270,464	4,988,909	6,498,558	5,777,904	5,445,722
- Fresh surface water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Brackish surface water/seawater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Groundwater - renewable	499,963	492,938	478,111	397,248	132,387	-	-
- Groundwater - non renewable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Produced water/entrained water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Third party sources (municipal potable water)	5,617,254	5,453,145	4,792,354	4,591,661	6,366,171	5,777,904	5,445,722
Water discharge (m ³)	4,996,745	5,008,352	4,381,218	4,082,675	5,721,372	5,658,971	2,683,751 ^{※2}
- Fresh surface water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Brackish surface water/seawater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Groundwater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Third party destinations	4,996,745	5,008,352	4,381,218	4,082,675	5,721,372	5,658,971	2,683,751
Water consumption (m ³)	1,120,473	937,730	889,246	906,234	777,186	118,933	2,761,971
Recycled water (m ³)	966,740	938,393	793,728	797,403	1,335,830	1,101,472	816,827

*1 Scope: the same as for the SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

*2 As water discharge data was not available at certain facilities, there are discrepancies with the results for fiscal 2018 and fiscal 2019.

c.)

Water Usage by Type of Property

			Fiscal	2023			Fiscal 2022						
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	withdrawal	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Water discharge (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)		Floor area (1,000m ²)	Water withdrawal (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Water discharge (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Number of target facilities	
Office buildings	5,642	3,232,410	0.57	2,962,756	0.53	68	5,734	3,250,264	0.71	3,056,408	0.67	65	
Commercial facilities	965	1,232,636	1.28	1,159,282	1.20	23	1,126	1,144,793	0.66	1,135,874	0.66	25	
Hotels	408	673,963	1.65	663,205	1.63	26	369	583,962	2.75	578,758	2.73	23	

			Fiscal	2021			Fiscal 2020							
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Water withdrawal (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Water discharge (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Number of target facilities	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Water withdrawal (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Water discharge (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Number of target facilities		
Office buildings	4,488	2,135,148	0.46	2,028,971	0.44	54	4,667	2,028,971	0.44	2,028,971	0.44	53		
Commercial facilities	864	1,717,983	0.99	1,543,844	0.89	32	1,831	1,543,844	0.89	1,543,844	0.89	29		
Hotels	624	244,628	1.15	242,543	1.14	14	336	242,543	1.14	242,543	1.14	14		

			2019		Fiscal 2018							
	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Water withdrawal (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Water discharge (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Number of target facilities	Floor area (1,000m ²)	Water withdrawal (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Water discharge (m ³)	Per unit (m ³ /m ²)	Number of target facilities
Office buildings	4,596	3,094,801	0.67	3,005,493	0.65	51	5,051	4,010,966	0.79	3,774,352	0.75	51
Commercial facilities	1,727	2,072,191	1.20	1,996,223	1.16	29	1,024	1,210,212	1.18	1,307,182	1.28	20
Hotels	212	426,987	2.01	416,383	1.96	12	149	396,446	2.66	434,601	2.92	11

*1 Scope: the same as for the SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

*2 Other uses not included in calculations for table above

Results of Water Risk Analysis Using Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, a Water Risk Analysis Tool

Overall risk ^{*1}	Number of target properties	Percentage	Water withdrawal (m ³)	Water discharge (m ³)	Water consumption (m ³)
0-1 Low	8	5.2%	320,564	318,159	2,405
1-2 Low-medium	147	94.8%	5,796,654	4,678,586	1,118,068
2-3 Medium-high	0	0.0%	0	0	0
3-4 High	0	0.0%	0	0	0
4-5 Extremely high	0	0.0%	0	0	0
Total	155	100.0%	6,117,218	4,996,745	1,120,473

*1 Definition on Aqueduct: overall water-related risk based on all factors, including physical water volume, water quality, regulations, and reputational risk

*2 Scope: the same as for SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

*3 Analysis scope: properties covered by the SBT scope in fiscal 2023

4. Energy Saving

Rate of Facilities with Energy-Saving Equipment Adopted/Installed

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Rate of adoption for water-conserving appliances (automatic faucets, water-conserving toilets)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Newly constructed ^{*1} , large- scale ^{*2} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan
Rate of installation of electric vehicle charging stations	92.3%	92.3%	92.3%	92.3%	92.3%	92.3%	Newly constructed ^{*1} , large- scale ^{*2} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan
Rate of provision of bicycle parking areas	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Newly constructed ^{*1} , large- scale ^{*2} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan

*1 Completed in 2002 onward

*2 Floor area: 100,000m² or more

5. Green Building Certification

Comprehensive Certification

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
CASBEE certification (includes self- certifications and expired certifications)								Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}
- Number of certified properties	21	27	12	12	10	8	7	
- Total floor area of certified properties (m ²)	1,534,009	1,913,217	1,385,772	1,280,499	1,247,375	1,203,704	1,029,650	
- Certification rate (ratio of total floor area to SBT-target properties)	21.9%	23.8%	17.9%	16.4%	16.6%	18.0%	15.4%	
Development Bank of Japan (DBJ) Green Building certification								Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}
- Number of certified properties	34	24	11	11	9	8	8	
- Total floor area of certified properties (m ²)	3,704,680	2,935,859	1,174,253	1,068,980	962,693	951,213	951,213	
- Certification rate (ratio of total floor area to SBT-target properties)	53.0%	36.5%	15.1%	13.7%	12.8%	14.2%	14.2%	
LEED								Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}
- Number of certified properties	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
- Total floor area of certified properties (m ²)	408,665	408,665	408,665	408,665	408,665	408,665	408,665	
- Certification rate (ratio of total floor area to SBT-target properties)	5.8%	5.1%	5.3%	5.2%	5.4%	6.1%	6.1%	
Total								Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}
- Number of certified properties ^{*2}	46	43	18	18	14	11	10	
- Total floor area of certified properties *2 (m ²)	4,226,644	3,890,781	2,245,540	1,994,267	1,854,856	1,799,705	1,625,651	
- Certification rate (ratio of total floor area to SBT-target properties)	60.4%	48.3%	28.9%	25.5%	24.6%	26.9%	24.2%	
SBT-target floor area ^{*3}	6,993,078	8,052,059	7,760,237	7,807,417	7,534,201	6,693,542	6,704,442	Mitsubishi Estate Group ^{*1}

*1 Scope: the same as for the SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which the Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

*2 When multiple certification have been obtained for the same property, it is counted as one property so as to calculate the total value without any duplication.

*3 Only includes properties eligible for certification

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sustainability Vision	Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes	ESG Report / ESG Data	External Evaluations of ESG Performance	INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)	

Comprehensive Energy Efficiency Certification

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
Building-housing Energy-efficiency Labeling System (BELS)								Mitsubishi Estate Group [*]
- Number of certified properties	10	12	9	1	1	1	1	
- Total floor area of certified properties (m ²)	195,050	543,571	467,098	108,350	108,350	108,350	108,350	
- Certification rate (ratio of total floor area to SBT-target properties)	2.8%	6.8%	6.0%	1.4%	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	

* Scope: the same as for SBT scope (Target organizations are selected based on actual control approach. As a general rule, properties in which Mitsubishi Estate Group's ownership or trust beneficiary rights are less than 50% are not included in data calculations.)

Biodiversity Certification

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
Number of projects recognized with ABINC certification	31	28	27	26	22	15	22	Mitsubishi Estate Group

6. Other

Environmental Accidents or Violations of Environmental Laws and Regulations

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
Violations of environmental laws and regulations	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
- Of which those related to water quality and water volume	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Environmental accidents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Environment related fines and penalties (yen)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group

Environmental Management System Certification

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
Percentage of business sites with environmental management system certification based on ISO14001	1.8%	1.5%	1.5%	1.7%	1.4%	40.5%	42.2%	Mitsubishi Estate Group

INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

.)

Biodiversity Exposure & Assessment

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Fiscal 2016	Boundary
Overall									Mitsubishi Jisho Residence
- the total number of sites used for operational activities	18	14	20	19	26	29	26	24	
- the total area used for operational activities (m ²)	33,141.90	75,764.39	69,303.06	52,736.15	89,428.45	75,415.25	78,413.40	39,569.68	
Assessment									Mitsubishi Jisho Residence
- the total number of sites conducted biodiversity impact assessments	18	14	20	19	26	29	26	24	
- the total area conducted biodiversity impact assessments (m ²)	33,141.90	75,764.39	69,303.06	52,736.15	89,428.45	75,415.25	78,413.40	39,569.68	
Exposure									Mitsubishi Jisho Residence
 the number of sites in close proximity to critical biodiversity* 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
- the total area in close proximiy to critical biodiversity [*] (m ²)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Management plans									Mitsubishi Jisho Residence
 the total number of sites with biodiversity management plan 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
- the total area with biodiversity management plan (m ²)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

* World Heritage sites

Sustainability Management Sus

Sustainability Vision Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.





E: Environmental data

S: Social data

G: Governance data

S: Social data

(1) KPI

Diversity & Inclusion

	Targets	Target Year	Fiscal 2024	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Paid leave utilization	Above 65%	Fiscal 2022	-	67.9%	66.6%	56.9%	59.8%	68.0%	72.9%	Mitsubishi Estate
Average number of used paid leave days	12 or more	Every year	-	13.2	12.6	10.8	10.8	12.4	13.6	Mitsubishi Estate
Ratio of female new graduate hires	Above 40%	Every year	43.8%	33.9%	35.7%	38.3%	37.8%	37.8%	31.4%	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*1}
Ratio of female mid-career hires	Above 40%	Every year	-	37.5%	36.5%	24.4%	17.1%	66.7%	22.2%	Mitsubishi Estate
Ratio of female managers	①above 20%、②30%、 ③40%	①Fiscal 2030、②Fiscal 2040、③Fiscal 2050	8.1%	7.3%	7.2%	6.6%	5.8%	5.8%	5.5%	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*2}
Rate of male employees taking childcare leave of absence ^{*6}	above 100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	-	82.4%	110.6%	75.5%	64.9%	24.0%	21.2%	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*3}
Rate of female employees taking childcare leave of absence	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	-	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%	100.0%	98.7%	100.0%	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*4}
Rate of reinstatement to work after maternity/childcare leave	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	94.8%	93.8%	96.7%	5 Group companies ^{*5}
Employment rate of persons with disabilities	2.50%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	-	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.20%	2.23%	2.22%	5 Group companies ^{*5}

*1 As of April 1 of each fiscal year

*2 As we raised the target in FY2021, the boundary was changed to Mitsubishi Estate & As of April 1 of each fiscal year

*3 From FY2022, the boundary of this target was changed to Mitsubishi Estate

*4 From FY2023, the boundary of this target was changed to Mitsubishi Estate

*5 Mitsubishi Estate, Mitsubishi Jisho Property Management, Mitsubishi Estate Residence, Mitsubishi Jisho Sekkei, Mitsubishi Real Estate Services

М	essages	
---	---------	--

133

*6 Ratio of "the number of male employees who took childcare leave during the fiscal year (b)" to "the number of male employees whose spouse gave birth to a child/children during the fiscal year (a)" (b/a). (Since (b) includes employees who had a child before the fiscal year but did not take the leave within the fiscal year in which the child/children was/were born, and who newly took the leave in the fiscal year, the rate may exceed 100%.

Health Management

	Targets	Target Year	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Percentage of high-risk group for metabolic syndrome (aged 40 or older [*])	(1) 4.7% (2) 0%	(1) Fiscal 2025 (2) Fiscal 2030	9.8%	7.5%	9.4%	9.4%	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*1}
Percentage of healthy group (aged 40 or older*)	(1) 29.8% (2) 32.8%	(1) Fiscal 2025 (2) Fiscal 2030	31.9%	23.9%	21.7%	26.8%	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*1}
Cancer screening rate	90.00%	Every year from FY2021 – FY2030	83.8%	88.6%	88.0%	80.7%	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*1}
High stress group	Maintain percentage of 10% or lower (national average: 10%)	Every year from FY2021 – FY2031	6.5%	5.5%	4.0%	4.7%	5.5%	-	Mitsubishi Estate ^{*2}

*1 Applies to head and branch office employees

*2 Includes seconded staff

Resilient Urban Development

	Targets	Target Year	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Rate of employees with first aid provider qualification	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	82.0%	75.3%	72.4%	63.0%	61.0%	64.0%	2 Group companies [*]

* Mitsubishi Estate, Mitsubishi Jisho Property Management

ent Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

Other

	Targets	Target Year	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Employee absentee rate	0%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	5 Group companies ^{*1}
Rate of provision of accessible toilets	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Newly constructed ^{*2} , large-scale ^{*3} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan
Rate of barrier-free buildings	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Newly constructed ^{*2} , large-scale ^{*3} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan
Percentage of wood that meets sustainabile sourcing code ^{*4} (certified and domestically produced) used for formwork concrete panels	100%	2030	-	80%	-	-	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate, Mitsubishi Estate Residence

*1 Mitsubishi Estate, Mitsubishi Jisho Property Management, Mitsubishi Estate Residence, Mitsubishi Jisho Sekkei, Mitsubishi Real Estate Services

*2 Completed in 2002 onward

*3 Total floor area: 100,000m² or more

*4 The standards for sustainability-conscious procurement, with reference to international agreements and codes of conduct in various fields related to sustainability

(2) Other

1. Human Resources

I) Mitsubishi Estate Employment Conditions

Workforce breakdown (As of the beginning of each fiscal year)

	Fisc	al 2024	Fisc	al 2023	Fisc	al 2022	Fisc	al 2021
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Men	1,447	71.2%	1,454	71.8%	1,401	72.9%	1,369	74.0%
(Of which, regular employees)	1,189	58.5%	1,180	58.3%	1,148	59.7%	1,122	60.6%
- Of which, managers	679	33.4%	659	32.6%	659	34.3%	662	35.8%
- Of which, non-managerial employees	510	25.1%	521	25.7%	489	25.4%	460	24.9%
(Of which, short-term contract workers)	258	12.7%	274	13.5%	253	13.2%	247	13.3%
Women	586	28.8%	570	28.2%	522	27.1%	482	26.0%
(Of which, regular employees)	466	22.9%	434	21.4%	390	20.3%	352	19.0%
- Of which, managers	60	3.0%	54	2.7%	51	2.7%	47	2.5%
- Of which, non-managerial employees	406	20.0%	380	18.8%	339	17.6%	305	16.5%
(Of which, short-term contract workers)	120	5.9%	136	6.7%	132	6.9%	130	7.0%
Total	2,033	100.0%	2,024	100.0%	1,923	100.0%	1,851	100.0%
(Of which, regular employees)	1,655	81.4%	1,614	79.7%	1,538	80.0%	1,474	79.6%
- Of which, managers	739	36.4%	713	35.2%	710	36.9%	709	38.3%
- Of which, non-managerial employees	916	45.1%	901	44.5%	828	43.1%	765	41.3%
(Of which, short-term contract workers)	378	18.6%	410	20.3%	385	20.0%	377	20.4%

	Fisc	al 2020	Fisc	al 2019	Fisc	al 2018
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Men	1,333	75.4%	1,233	75.7%	1,249	77.1%
(Of which, regular employees)	1,104	62.4%	1,080	66.3%	1,108	68.4%
- Of which, managers	682	38.6%	668	41.0%	690	42.6%
- Of which, non-managerial employees	422	23.9%	412	25.3%	418	25.8%
(Of which, short-term contract workers)	229	12.9%	153	9.4%	141	8.7%
Women	436	24.6%	396	24.3%	372	22.9%
(Of which, regular employees)	328	18.5%	306	18.8%	283	17.5%
- Of which, managers	42	2.4%	39	2.4%	42	2.6%
- Of which, non-managerial employees	286	16.2%	267	16.4%	241	14.9%
(Of which, short-term contract workers)	108	6.1%	90	5.5%	89	5.5%
Total	1,769	100.0%	1,629	100.0%	1,621	100.0%
(Of which, regular employees)	1,432	80.9%	1,386	85.1%	1,391	85.8%
- Of which, managers	724	40.9%	707	43.4%	732	45.2%
- Of which, non-managerial employees	708	40.0%	679	41.7%	659	40.7%
(Of which, short-term contract workers)	337	19.1%	243	14.9%	230	14.2%

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

Workforce profile, by age bracket (As of April 1, 2024)

	T	Total	20-29		3	0-39	40-49		40-49		40-49		40-49		5	0-59	60 a	nd over
	Number	Percentage																
Men	1,447	71.2%	214	58.0%	407	67.8%	238	70.4%	429	78.3%	159	89.3%						
Women	586	28.8%	155	42.0%	193	32.2%	100	29.6%	119	21.7%	19	10.7%						
Total	2,033	100%	369	100%	600	100%	338	100%	548	100%	178	100%						

Percentage of female managers (As of the beginning of each fiscal year)

	Fiscal 2024	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018
Female employees as percentage of all employees	28.8%	28.2%	27.1%	26.0%	24.6%	24.3%	22.9%
Female subsection chiefs as percentage of all subsection chiefs	30.0%	27.7%	23.9%	21.1%	20.3%	16.9%	15.9%
Female managers as percentage of all managers	8.1%	7.3%	7.2%	6.6%	5.8%	5.8%	5.5%
Female junior managers as percentage of all junior managers	17.6%	17.3%	17.5%	17.4%	15.6%	15.9%	14.4%
Female middle managers as percentage of all middle managers	4.9%	3.8%	4.0%	4.7%	4.3%	3.2%	3.0%
Female senior managers as percentage of all senior managers	2.6%	2.6%	2.3%	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Female non-back office managers as percentage of all non-back office managers	7.8%	6.8%	7.0%	6.4%	5.1%	5.1%	6.8%
Female employees in STEM-related positions as percentage of total STEM positions		26.4%	26.5%	26.4%	26.4%	-	-

Percentage of employees by nationality (As of the beginning of each fiscal year)

		Fiscal 2024	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018
Percenta	age of all full time employees by nationality							
	- Japan	99.4%	99.4%	99.5%	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%	99.6%
	- Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
	Total	1,655	1,614	1,538	1,474	1,432	1,386	1,391
Percenta	age of managers by nationality							
	- Japan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	- Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	739	713	710	709	724	707	732

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

etc.)

New hires and people leaving the company (As of the beginning of each fiscal year)

	Fiscal 2024	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018
lew employees (new graduate hires and mid-career hires)	48	104	94	92	80	58	53
(Breakdown by gender)							
- Male percentage	56.3%	64.4%	63.8%	68.5%	71.3%	51.7%	71.7%
- Female percentage	43.8%	35.6%	36.2%	31.5%	28.8%	48.3%	28.3%
(Breakdown by age)							
- Percentage of < 30 years old	100.0%	64.4%	53.2%	65.2%	60.0%	63.8%	66.0%
- Percentage of 30-50 years old	0.0%	34.6%	46.8%	34.8%	38.8%	36.2%	34.0%
- Percentage of > 50 years old	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
(Breakdown by nationality)							
- Percentage of Japanese nationality	97.9%	100.0%	96.8%	97.8%	96.3%	98.3%	100.0%
- Percentage of other nationalities	2.1%	0.0%	3.2%	2.2%	3.8%	1.7%	0.0%
(Breakdown by positions)							
- Percentage of non-managers	100.0%	98.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
- Percentage of junior managers	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09
- Percentage of middle managers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09
- Percentage of senior managers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09
ew graduate hires	48	56	42	47	45	37	3
- Male percentage	56.3%	66.1%	64.3%	61.7%	62.2%	62.2%	68.69
- Female percentage	43.8%	33.9%	35.7%	38.3%	37.8%	37.8%	31.49
lid-career hires	0	48	52	45	35	21	1
- Male percentage	0.0%	62.5%	63.5%	75.6%	82.9%	33.3%	77.89
- Female percentage	0.0%	37.5%	36.5%	24.4%	17.1%	66.7%	22.29
umber of employees leaving company ncluding those retiring at mandatory retirement age)		46	47	47	48	77	4
(Breakdown by gender)							
- Male percentage		84.8%	83.0%	89.4%	83.3%	-	-
- Female percentage		15.2%	17.0%	10.6%	16.7%	-	-
(Breakdown by age)							
- Percentage of < 30 years old		8.5%	2.1%	4.3%	2.1%	-	-
- Percentage of 30-50 years old		12.8%	10.6%	12.8%	2.1%	-	-
- Percentage of > 50 years old		76.6%	87.2%	83.0%	97.9%	-	-
(Breakdown by nationality)							
- Percentage of Japanese nationality		97.9%	97.9%	100%	100%	-	-
- Percentage of other nationalities		0%	2.1%	0%	0%	-	-
(Breakdown by positions)							
- Percentage of non-managers		23.4%	29.8%	25.5%	22.9%	-	-
- Percentage of junior managers		6.4%	17.0%	19.1%	12.5%	-	-
- Percentage of middle managers		2.1%	25.5%	34.0%	18.8%	-	-
- Percentage of senior managers		21.3%	27.7%	21.3%	45.8%	-	-
ercentage of employees voluntary turnover rate		0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	1.2%	1.2%
otal employee turnover rate		2.8%	2.9%	3.2%			

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sustainability Vision	Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes	ESG Report / ESG Data	External Evaluations of ESG Performance	INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)	:=
----------	---------------------------	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	--	--------------------------------	----

Average number of years of continuous service by gender (As of the end of each fiscal year)

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018
Men	17.6	18.0	18.6	19.1	19.6	19.5
Women	12.7	13.1	13.7	14.3	15.3	16.9

* As of the end of each fiscal year

Labor union

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017
Labor union members as percentage of employees [*]	71.9%	70.7%	70.8%	74.8%	70.3%	70.0%	71.7%

* Excluding employees in managerial positions

	Fiscal						
	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
Number of labor standard infringements or allegations against the company	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2. Diversity

Health & safety (Employee)

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
Total accidents	7	2	2	1	2	1	2	Mitsubishi Estate
- Fatalities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
- Lost working time	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
- Total working days lost	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	
Absentee rate	0.46%	0.62%	0.22%	0.76%	0.67%	0.94%	0.91%	Mitsubishi Estate
Injury rate	0.0023%	0.0007%	0.0008%	0.0005%	0.001%	0.0005%	0.001%	Mitsubishi Estate
Lost day incident rate ^{*1}	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.316%	0%	Mitsubishi Estate
Lost-time injury frequency rate (LTIFR)	3.08%	0.99%	1.10%	0.63%	1.30%	0.66%	1.35%	Mitsubishi Estate
Medical examination rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	-	Mitsubishi Estate
Percentage of employees taking health and safety $\ensuremath{training^{*2}}$	100%	86%	91%	100%	100%	95.0%	93.2%	Mitsubishi Estate
Number of employees taking health and safety training ^{*2}	28	31	30	25	21	19	41	Mitsubishi Estate

*1 Total number of lost day due to injury/ Total number of actual working hours X 1,000

*2 This training is provided through newly-appointed Manager Class 1 training

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sustainability Vision	Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes	ESG Report / ESG Data	External Evaluations of ESG Performance	INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)	:=

Employee satisfaction and customer satisfaction

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
mployee satisfaction/percentage of high ratings ^{*1}	86.2%	84.5%	88.5%	86.7%	84.5%	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate
(Breakdown by age)								
- Percentage of < 30 years old	87.6%	86.2%	85.5%	-	-	-	-	
- Percentage of 30-50 years old	86.1%	87.2%	88.5%	-	-	-	-	
- Percentage of > 50 years old	85.7%	89.0%	91.9%	-	-	-	-	
(Breakdown by positions)								
- Percentage of non-managers	85.3%	86.2%	87.0%	-	-	-	-	
- Percentage of junior managers	88.5%	86.4%	86.4%	-	-	-	-	
- Percentage of middle managers	94.4%	89.9%	95.5%	-	-	-	-	
- Percentage of senior managers	80.8%	87.2%	94.1%	-	-	-	-	
verall customer satisfaction with sales/percentage f high ratings ^{*2}	92.0%	89.9%	87.3%	86.7%	86.4%	82.6%	-	Mitsubishi Estate Residence

*1 Percentage of employees answering "Yes" to the question "Do you feel your job is rewarding?" on an employee questionnaire

*2 Up to fiscal 2018: percentage of ratings in top 2 out of 7 evaluation levels; From fiscal 2019: percentage of ratings in top 2 out of 5 evaluation levels

Number of employees using personnel programs

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Unit	Boundary
Number of employees taking childcare leave of absence	160	230	217	151	116	109	-	people	5 Group companies ^{*1}
Number of employees taking nursing care leave of absence	2	1	3	2	0	0	-	people	5 Group companies ^{*1}
Number of employees working shorter hours for childcare and nursing care	173	145	143	120	108	126	-	people	5 Group companies ^{*1}
Number of employees using volunteer leave	8	15	0	0	10	28	8	Total number of people	Mitsubishi Estate
Number of employees using social learning $^{\star 2}$	13	9	0	0	0	1	7	Total number of people	Mitsubishi Estate
Number of employees using volunteer insurance	72	103	46	1	12	2	8	Total number of people	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of employees using subsidies for volunteering	18	70	42	8	17	16	36	Total number of people	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of employees using subsidy program for travel expenses to volunteer in disaster-affected areas ^{*2}	5	0	0	0	3	5	10	Total number of people	Mitsubishi Estate Group

*1 Mitsubishi Estate, Mitsubishi Jisho Property Management, Mitsubishi Estate Residence, Mitsubishi Jisho Sekkei, Mitsubishi Real Estate Services

*2 Program that allows volunteering during working hours

Human resource development

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Unit	Boundary
Training time per employee per year	9.9	9.2	8.1	8.2	7.6	8.7	hours per year	Mitsubishi Estate
Training cost per employee per year	96,693	96,467	85,668	75,648	84,482	127,989	yen per year	Mitsubishi Estate

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sustainability Vision	Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes	ESG Report / ESG Data	External Evaluations of ESG Performance	INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)	

Human rights

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Unit	Boundary
Allegations concerning human rights and harassment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cases	Mitsubishi Estate
Annual total hours of human rights training at Mitsubishi Estate Group	50,220	33,400	142,230	110,940	169,090	204,080	185,025	minutes	Mitsubishi Estate
Annual total number of companies taking human rights training at Mitsubishi Estate Group	75	25	59	29	23	22	34	number	Mitsubishi Estate
Annual total participants of human rights training at Mitsubishi Estate Group	588	371	1,019	1,600	1,018	1,891	544	people	Mitsubishi Estate
Annual total hours of human rights training at Mitsubishi Estate	172,830	33,700	129,460	54,790	35,650	101	105	minutes	Mitsubishi Estate
Annual total participants of human rights training at Mitsubishi Estate	2,354	368	1,433	608	308	1,116	894	people	Mitsubishi Estate

Percentage of employees taking training programs (Mitsubishi Estate)

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017
Percentage of employees taking sexual harassment prevention training	90.0%	91.9%	94.0%	89.4%	97.5%	100%	-
Newly-appointed Manager Class 1 training	100.0%	86.1%	90.9%	100%	100%	95.0%	93.2%

Resilience

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Rate of buildings that are to take in stranded commuters ^{*1}	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	92.3%	92.3%	92.3%	Newly constructed ^{*2} , large- scale ^{*3} office buildings and commercial facilities in Japan

*1 Facilities that have signed an agreement with the government to accept stranded commuters and facilities that have voluntarily formulated a policy and plan for accepting stranded commuters.

*2 Completed in 2002 onward

*3 Floor area: 100,000m² or more

Annual salary of employees (Mitsubishi Estate)

	Fiscal 2023		Fiscal 2022		Fisca	al 2021	Fiscal 2020		
	Amount (yen)	Number of people	Amount (yen)	Number of people	Amount (yen)	Number of people	Amount (yen)	Number of people	
Average annual salary of employees	12,732,428	1,184	12,463,090	1,091	12,647,559	1,053	12,675,663	953	

	Fiso	cal 2019	Fiso	cal 2018	Fiscal 2017		
	Amount (yen)	Amount (yen) Number of people		Number of people	Amount (yen)	Number of people	
Average annual salary of employees	12,735,234	903	12,476,724	899	12,290,437	806	

Messages Sustainability Management Sustainability Vision Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes ESG Report / ESG Data External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI+SASB+TCFD, etc.)	stainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes ESG Report / ESG Data ESG Performance		Sustainability Vision	Sustainability Management	Messages
--	---	--	-----------------------	---------------------------	----------

Gender Pay Gap

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Boundary
Mean gender pay gap of all employees	53.00%	52.20%	Mitsubishi Estate
Mean gender pay gap of full-time employees	56.00%	55.80%	Mitsubishi Estate
Mean gernder pay gap of part-time and non-permanent workers	42.90%	44.80%	Mitsubishi Estate

3. Supply chain management related data

Supplier code of code distrubited

	FY2023	FY2022	Boundary					
Construction companies	100%	100%	% Mitsubishi Estate, Mitsubishi Estate Residence					
Cleaning companies	100%	100%	Mitsubishi Jisho Property Management, Royal Park Hotels & Resorts, Mitsubishi Jisho Commuity					

SAQ (Self-Assessment Questionnaire)

	FY2023	Boundary	FY2022	Boundary
onstruction companies		ĺ		
Mitsubishi Estate (Projects)	3	23	3	20
- Tier 1 suppliers	3		3	
- Tier 2 suppliers	7		20	
- Tier 3 suppliers	6		14	
Mitsubishi Estate Residence (Projects)	2	27	2	24
- Tier 1 suppliers	2		2	
- Tier 2 suppliers	2		3	
- Tier 3 suppliers	2		1	
eaning companies				
Mitsubishi Jisho Property Management				
- Tier 1 suppliers	1	19	3	19
- Tier 2 suppliers	1		6	
Royal Park Hotel & Resorts				
- Tier 1 suppliers	1	12	1	12
- Tier 2 suppliers	1		3	
Mitsubishi Jisho Community				
- Tier 1 suppliers	0	2	1	2
- Tier 2 suppliers	0		3	

Sustainability Management Sus

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

^p ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)





E: Environmental data

S: Social data

G: Governance data

G: Governance data

(1) KPI

1. Corporate Governance

	Targets	Target year	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Attendance at Board of Directors meetings	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	99%	100%	100%	100%	99.3%	100%	Mitsubishi Estate

2. Risk Management and Compliance

	Targets	Targets year	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Boundary
Compliance e-learning attendance	100%	Fiscal 2030 ongoing goal	99.3%	99.0%	99.6%	100%	98.3%	97.8%	Mitsubishi Estate [*]

* Excludes advisors and dispatched employees. Outside Directors included.

:=

(2) Other

1. Corporate Governance

I) Attendance at Board of Directors and Each Committee Meetings (Fiscal 2023)

* Name, attendance and attendance percentage for chairman of each committee meeting in blue

			Outside	The Board	of Directors	Audit C	ommittee	Nominatin	g Committee	Remunerati	on Committee
	Title	Name		Attendance	Attendance percentage	Attendance	Attendance percentage	Attendance	Attendance percentage	Attendance	Attendance percentage
1	Chairman of the Board	Junichi Yoshida		9/9	(100%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Director	Atsushi Nakajima		9/9	(100%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Director	Bunroku Naganuma		7/7	(100%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Director	Naoki Umeda		9/9	(100%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Director	Mikihito Hirai		7/7	(100%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Director	Noboru Nishigai		9/9	(100%)	15/15	(100%)	-	-	-	-
7	Director	Hiroshi Katayama		9/9	(100%)	15/15	(100%)	-	-	-	-
8	Director	Tsuyoshi Okamoto	0	8/9	(89%)	-	-	5/5	(100%)	6/6	(100%)
9	Director	Tetsuo Narukawa	0	9/9	(100%)	15/15	(100%)	-	-	-	-
10	Director	Masaaki Shirakawa	0	9/9	(100%)	-	-	5/5	(100%)	6/6	(100%)
11	Director	Shin Nagase	0	9/9	(100%)	15/15	(100%)	-	-	-	-
12	Director	Wataru Sueyoshi	0	7/7	(100%)	12/12	(100%)	-	-	-	-
13	Director	Ayako Sonoda	0	7/7	(100%)	-	-	5/5	(100%)	4/4	(100%)
14	Director	Melanie Brock	0	9/9	(100%)	-	-	5/5	(100%)	6/6	(100%)
To	tal			117/118	(99%)	72/72	(100%)	20/20	(100%)	22/22	(100%)

* As Wataru Sueyoshi took office as Director on June 29, 2023, the number of meetings counted as the scope of attendance for the Board of Directors meetings, etc., is different from that of the other Directors.

* As Ayako Sonoda took office as Director on June 29, 2023, the number of meetings counted as the scope of attendance for the Board of Directors meetings, etc., is different from that of the other Directors.

l :≡

II) Remuneration

Remuneration of Directors and Corporate Executive Officers

		Fisca	al 2023				Fisca	al 2022		
	Fixed remuneration (millions of yen)	Performance- based remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Number of target persons	Average per person (millions of yen)	Fixed remuneration (millions of yen)	Performance- based remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Number of target persons	Average per person (millions of yen)
Directors	520	-	520	14	37	503	-	503	13	39
- In-house Director	377	-	377	5	75	360	-	360	5	72
- Outside Director	143	-	143	9	16	143	-	143	8	18
Executive officers	614	882	1,496	16	94	569	659	1,228	14	88

		Fisca	al 2021			Fiscal 2020					
	Fixed remuneration (millions of yen)	Performance- based remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Number of target persons	Average per person (millions of yen)	Fixed remuneration (millions of yen)	Performance- based remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Number of target persons	Average per person (millions of yen)	
Directors	365	-	369	11	34	365	-	365	10	37	
- In-house Director	257	-	261	4	65	257	-	257	3	86	
- Outside Director	108	-	108	7	15	108	-	108	7	15	
Executive officers	622	517	1,139	16	71	620	545	1,166	15	78	

		Fisca	al 2019				Fisca	al 2018		
	Fixed remuneration (millions of yen)	Performance- based remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Number of target persons	Average per person (millions of yen)	Fixed remuneration (millions of yen)	Performance- based remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Number of target persons	Average per person (millions of yen)
Directors	368	-	368	11	33	397	-	397	12	33
- In-house Director	260	-	260	3	87	289	-	289	4	72
- Outside Director	108	-	108	8	14	107	-	107	8	13
Executive officers	551	529	1,081	13	83	568	573	1,142	14	82

Messages	Sustainability Management	Sustainability Vision	Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes	ESG Report / ESG Data	External Evaluations of ESG Performance	INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)	
----------	---------------------------	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	--	--------------------------------	--

Remuneration paid to Chairman of the Board and CEO

		Fiscal 2023			Fiscal 2022		Fiscal 2021			
	Monetary remuneration (millions of yen)	Stock remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Monetary remuneration (millions of yen)	Stock remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Monetary remuneration (millions of yen)	Stock remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	
Chairman of the Board	177	-	187	138	-	138	138	-	138	
CEO	79	98	178	79	75	159	79	79	159	

	Fiscal 2020				Fiscal 2019		Fiscal 2018			
	Monetary remuneration (millions of yen)	Stock remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Monetary remuneration (millions of yen)	Stock remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	Monetary remuneration (millions of yen)	Stock remuneration (millions of yen)	Total (millions of yen)	
Chairman of the Board	138	-	138	138	-	138	141	-	141	
CEO	79	79	159	79	86	166	79	82	161	

Number of shares of the Company held by Directors

	Title	Name	Outside director	Term of office served as Director (years)	Number of shares held (thousand)
1	Chairman of the Board	Junichi Yoshida		8	111.7
2	Director	Atsushi Nakajima		2	66.5
3	Director	Bunroku Naganuma		1	56.1
4	Director	Naoki Umeda		2	35.3
5	Director	Mikihito Hirai		1	16.4
6	Director	Noboru Nishigai		3	29.9
7	Director	Hiroshi Katayama		8	38.6
8	Director	Masaaki Shirakawa	0	8	-
9	Director	Tetsuo Narukawa	0	6	4.4
10	Director	Tsuyoshi Okamoto	0	5	3.4
11	Director	Melanie Brock	0	2	0.8
12	Director	Wataru Sueyoshi	0	1	0.1
13	Director	Ayako Sonoda	0	1	0.7
14	Director	Naosuke Oda	0	-	-
	Average	e	-	3.7	30.3

* As of june 27, 2024

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

tc.)

Number of shares of the Company held by Corporate Executive Officers

	Title	Name	Number of the shares of the Company held (thousand)
1	Representative Corporate Executive Officer, President & Chief Executive Officer	Atsushi Nakajima	66
2	Representative Corporate Executive Officer, Deputy President	Kenji Hosokane	62
3	Representative Corporate Executive Officer, Deputy President	Yuji Fujioka	51
4	Representative Corporate Executive Officer, Deputy President	Bunroku Naganuma	56
5	Representative Corporate Executive Officer, Deputy President	Yutaro Yotsuzuka	42
6	Representative Corporate Executive Officer, Deputy President	Ryozo Kawabata	45
7	Senior Executive Officer	Keiji Takano	45
8	Senior Executive Officer	Toru Kimura	51
9	Senior Executive Officer	Ikuo Ono	49
10	Senior Executive Officer	Naoki Umeda	35
11	Senior Executive Officer	Haruhiko Araki	40
12	Senior Executive Officer	Masato Aikawa	34
13	Senior Executive Officer	Hirofumi Kato	26
14	Senior Executive Officer	Toru Takeda	14
15	Executive Officer	Mikito Hirai	16
Ave	erage		42.1

* As of june 27, 2024

III) Number of Directors by Gender

	Male		F	emale	Total		
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
In-house Director	5	35.7%	2	14.3%	7	50.0%	
Outside Director	7	50.0%	0	0.0%	7	50.0%	
Total	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14	100.0%	

* As of june 27, 2024

Sustainability Management

Sustainability Vision

Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance

INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.)

2. Risk Management and Compliance

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2018	Fiscal 2017	Boundary
Number of consultations and reports via the Mitsubishi Estate Group help line	70	56	53	44	75	63	64	Mitsubishi Estate Group
- Number concerning discrimination	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
- Number concerning harassment	18	14	10	8	-	-	-	-
Number of major compliance violations (violations of Mitsubishi Estate Group Code of Conduct and Group Guidelines for Conduct and Anti-Corruption Guidelines)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Fines (amount) for violations of Anti-Monopoly Act or other regulations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of cases investigated under Anti-Monopoly Act or other regulations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of incidents of illicit conduct related to corruption, bribery or other illicit conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of cases investigated due to suspicion of corruption, bribery or other illicit conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of violations related to customer privacy data	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of violations related to conflicts of interest	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Number of violations related to money laundering or insider trading	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate Group
Total number of information security breaches		0	-	-	-	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate Group
- Total number of clients, customers and employees affected by the breaches		0	-	-	-	-	-	Mitsubishi Estate Group

Amount of tax paid in each country by the Mitsubishi Estate Group

(Unit: Millions of yen)

	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021	Fiscal 2020	Fiscal 2019
Japan	62,183	51,054	56,025	43,264
US	5,733	5,914	692	1,184
UK	6,806	1,133	434	1,176
Singapore	321	509	132	81
Other	225	441	115	92
Total	75,270	59,050	57,398	45,797

(Unit: Millions of yen)

	Fiscal 2023	Fiscal 2022	Fiscal 2021
Non-recurring (one time) operating losses in own operations	0	0	0
Net operating losses from prior periods and/or acquired companies	2,796	2,751	4,637
Timing - net deferred tax assets/liabilities and major issues outside of the two year period reported (including accounting adjustments for prior reporting periods due to major tax policy changes)	591,941	527,001	512,372

Sustainability Management Sust

Sustainability Vision Sustainability of the Mitsubishi Estate Group and Society: Four Key Themes

ESG Report / ESG Data

External Evaluations of ESG Performance INDEX (GRI•SASB•TCFD, etc.



ESG Report / ESG Data Independent Third-Party Assurance Report

Independent Assurance

We have asked Ernst&Young ShinNihon LLC to provide assurance on the environmental data. Please refer to the following Independent Assurance Statement for detail.

FY 2023 Independent practitioner's assurance report 2023 (PDF 48KB)	PDF
FY 2023 Environmental Information (PDF 686KB)	PDF
FY 2022 Independent Assurance Report 2022 (PDF 47KB)	PDF
FY 2022 Environmental Information (PDF 379KB)	PDF
LRQA Independent Assurance Statement Relating to Mitsubishi Estate Group's Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Environmental Data for the fiscal year 2021 (PDF 202KB)	PDF
Assurance Statement related to GHG Emissions Report 2020 (PDF 560KB)	PDF
Statement relating to Environmental Data 2020 (PDF 596KB)	PDF
Assurance Statement Related to GHG Emissions Report 2019 (PDF 160KB)	PDF
Statement Relating to Environmental Data 2019 (PDF 307KB)	PDF

The two PDFs for FY 2022 are English translations of an Independent Assurance Report and Environmental Information, originally prepared in Japanese. They are provided for information and reference purposes only. In the event of a discrepancy between the Japanese and English versions, the Japanese version will prevail.

* In FY2020 and FY2021, we obtained a guarantee from Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Limited.